

A Signal for a Theory of Flavor at the Large Hadron Collider

Priscila M. Aquino*,¹ Gustavo Burdman†,¹ and Oscar J. P. Éboli‡¹

¹*Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo SP 05508-900, Brazil*

In Randall-Sundrum models with gauge bosons and fermions in the extra dimensional bulk, it is possible to build models of flavor by localizing the fermions in the extra dimension. Since the Higgs must be localized at or close to the TeV scale fixed point, heavier fermions must be localized close to this brane. The first Kaluza-Klein excitations of the gauge bosons are also TeV-localized, so they have stronger couplings to heavier fermions leading to tree-level flavor-violating couplings. We investigate the potential of the LHC to observe flavor violation in single top production at very high invariant masses, in addition to the observation of the corresponding top-anti-top resonance. We conclude that the LHC will be able to observe tree-level flavor violation in single top production, probing KK masses at least as large as 2 TeV, as well as a very interesting region of the parameters.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Kk, 12.60.-i, 13.90.+i

Introduction It is generally believed that extensions of the standard model must address the stability of the weak scale with new physics at energies not far above the TeV scale. The origin of fermion masses, on the other hand, could in principle reside at much higher scales. Nonetheless, it is tempting to consider the possibility that the origin of at least some of the fermion masses is related to TeV scale physics. This is particularly true when considering the origin of the top quark mass, which is of the order of the weak scale. The correspondingly large Yukawa coupling suggests the presence of a strongly coupled sector associated with the third generation quarks.

Recently, Randall and Sundrum proposed the use of a non-factorizable geometry in five dimensions [1] as a solution of the hierarchy problem. The extra dimension is compactified on an orbifold S_1/Z_2 of radius r so that the bulk is a slice of AdS_5 space between two four-dimensional boundaries. The metric depends on the five dimensional coordinate y and is given by [1]

$$ds^2 = e^{-2\sigma(y)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - dy^2, \quad (1)$$

where x^μ are the four dimensional coordinates, $\sigma(y) = k|y|$, with $k \sim M_P$ characterizing the curvature scale. This metric generates two effective scales: M_P and $M_P e^{-k\pi r}$. In this way, values of r not much larger than the Planck length ($kr \simeq (11 - 12)$) can be used in order to generate a scale $\Lambda_r \simeq M_P e^{-k\pi r} \simeq O(\text{TeV})$ on one of the boundaries.

In the original RS scenario, only gravity was allowed to propagate in the bulk, with the Standard Model (SM) fields confined to one of the boundaries. The inclusion of matter and gauge fields in the bulk has been extensively

treated in the literature [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The Higgs field must be localized on or around the TeV brane in order to generate the weak scale. As it was recognized in Ref. [5], it is possible to generate the fermion mass hierarchy from $O(1)$ flavor breaking in the bulk masses of fermions. Since bulk fermion masses result in the localization of fermion zero-modes, lighter fermions should be localized toward the Planck brane, where their wave-functions have an exponentially suppressed overlap with the TeV-localized Higgs, whereas fermions with order one Yukawa couplings should be localized toward the TeV brane.

Since the lightest KK excitations of gauge bosons are localized toward the TeV brane, they tend to be strongly coupled to zero-mode fermions localized there. Thus, the flavor-breaking fermion localization leads to flavor-violating interactions of the KK gauge bosons, particularly with third generation quarks. For instance, the first KK excitation of the gluon, will have flavor-violating neutral couplings such as $G_\mu^a(t\gamma^\mu T^a \bar{q})$, where $q = u, c$. Consequences of such effects have been considered in Ref. [13]. Here, we will study the potential of the LHC to directly observe the flavor-violating couplings through the anomalous production of single top quarks at very high invariant masses.

The action for fermion fields in the bulk contains a bulk mass term as in [3, 4]

$$S_f = \int d^4x dy \sqrt{g} \{ \dots - \text{sgn}(y) M_f \bar{\Psi} \Psi \}, \quad (2)$$

where the dots stand for the fermion kinetic terms. The bulk mass term M_f in eqn. (2) is expected to be of order $k \simeq M_P$. Although the fermion field Ψ is non-chiral, we can still define $\Psi_{L,R} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \gamma_5)\Psi$. The KK decomposition can be written as

$$\Psi_{L,R}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sum_{n=0} \psi_n^{L,R}(x) e^{2\sigma} f_n^{L,R}(y), \quad (3)$$

where $\psi_n^{L,R}(x)$ corresponds to the n th KK fermion ex-

*E-mail: priscila@fma.if.usp.br

†E-mail: burdman@fma.if.usp.br

‡E-mail: eboli@fma.if.usp.br

citation and is a chiral four-dimensional field. The zero mode wave functions are

$$f_0^{R,L}(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2k\pi r(1 \pm 2c_{R,L})}{e^{k\pi r(1 \pm 2c_{R,L})} - 1}} e^{\pm c_{R,L} k y}, \quad (4)$$

with $c_{R,L} \equiv M_f/k$ parametrizing the bulk fermion mass in units of the inverse AdS radius k , and naturally of $O(1)$. The Z_2 orbifold projection is used so that only one of these is actually allowed, either a left-handed or a right-handed zero mode.

Fermion bulk mass parameters c_L^i and c_R^i are constrained by the requirement that we obtain the correct fermion masses and mixings with 5D Yukawa couplings of order one. For instance, if for simplicity we assumed that the Higgs is localized on the TeV brane, the Yukawa couplings of bulk fermions can be written as

$$S_Y = \int d^4x dy \sqrt{-g} \frac{\lambda_{ij}^{5D}}{2M_5} \bar{\Psi}_i(x, y) \delta(y - \pi r) H(x) \Psi_j(x, y), \quad (5)$$

where λ_{ij}^{5D} is a dimensionless parameter and M_5 is the fundamental scale or cutoff of the theory. In order for this model to be a natural solution to the fermion mass hierarchy problem, we need $|\lambda_{ij}^{5D}| \sim O(1)$. The resulting zero-mode fermion mass matrices have then the form

$$M_{ij} = \frac{\lambda_{ij}^{5D} v}{2\pi r M_5} f_{0i}^L(\pi r) f_{0j}^R(\pi r), \quad (6)$$

where $v \simeq 174$ GeV is the Higgs VEV. Thus we can see that in order to get small masses, light fermions should be localized towards the Planck brane. This results in their couplings to KK gauge bosons being mostly universal leading to no observable FCNC effects. On the other hand, third-generation quarks are required to be close to the TeV brane so as to generate a large enough top mass. This poses a potential problem: both the right handed top quark and the left-handed doublet Q^3 , should be significantly localized towards the ‘‘Higgs’’ brane, meaning that they can have rather strong couplings to the first KK excitations of gauge bosons. This induced flavor violation of KK gauge bosons with b_L (we assume b_R localized on the Planck brane) is, in principle, constrained by the precise measurement of the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ interactions at the Z -pole. Depending on the model, this leads to a bound on c_L^3 [9], as well as to a typical KK gauge boson mass bound of $\gtrsim 3$ TeV. Also electroweak precision constraints require that the gauge symmetry in the bulk be enlarged to $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$. More recently, and in the context of these very same models, it has been argued that it is possible to protect the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ coupling from large corrections by new symmetries [10], resulting in potentially lower KK masses. Also, in models without a Higgs [11] or with a bulk Higgs [12], a KK scale as low as 1 TeV can be readily accommodated. For the purpose of this work, we will be only concerned with

the strong interactions, and not with the details of the electroweak sector. Thus, to be general enough, we will consider KK gauge boson masses as low as 1 TeV.

We will consider the range of parameters defined by $c_L^3 = [0.3, 0.4]$ and $c_R^t = [-0.4, 0.1]$. This is chosen such that although the correct top mass is obtained, no contradictions with electroweak measurements are present, particularly with the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ rate. We will only take the combinations of parameters in these ranges that satisfy the requirement imposed by the quark masses and mixings.

Couplings We will assume that the only non-universal couplings are those of the t_R , t_L and b_L with the KK gauge bosons. All other fermions, including the right-handed b quark are assumed to be Planck brane localized. The non-universality of the KK gauge boson couplings leads to tree-level flavor violation. The diagonalization of the quark mass matrix requires a change of basis for the quarks fields. In the SM, this rotation leads to the CKM matrix in the charged current, but the universality of the gauge interactions results in the Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism in the neutral currents. However, since the KK excitations of the gauge bosons are non-universal, tree-level GIM-violating couplings will appear in the physical quark basis.

We will consider the dominant non-universal effect as coming from the couplings of t_R , t_L and b_L to the first KK excitation of the gluon: g_{t_R} , g_{t_L} and g_{b_L} respectively. The $SU(2)_L$ bulk symmetry implies $g_{t_L} = g_{b_L}$. In order to obtain these effective 4D couplings we need to compute

$$g_5 \int d^4x \int dy \sqrt{g} \bar{\Psi}(x, y) \gamma^\mu T^a G_\mu^a(x, y) \Psi(x, y) \quad (7)$$

where g_5 is the 5D $SU(3)_c$ coupling, and the KK expansion of the gluon $G_\mu^a(x, y)$ and its wave-functions in the extra dimension can be found in [2]. The couplings of eqn. (7) depend on the fermion bulk masses. For the range given above for c_L^3 and c_R^t we obtain $g_{t_L} = g_{b_L} = [1.0, 2.8] g_s$ and $g_{t_R} = [1.5, 5] g_s$, where g_s is the usual 4D $SU(3)_c$ coupling. The universally coupled light quarks as well as the right-handed b quark have $g_L^q = g_R^q = g^b \simeq -0.2 g_s$.

We are now in a position to compute the effects of all the *flavor-conserving* interactions of the $G_\mu^{a(1)}(x)$, the first KK excitation of the gluon. We can also compute the width of the KK gluon. This can be written as

$$\Gamma \simeq \frac{\alpha_s}{12} M_G (9 \tilde{g}_q^2 + 2 \tilde{g}_{t_L}^2 + \tilde{g}_{t_R}^2) \quad (8)$$

where we defined the $\tilde{g}_q$'s as the couplings in units of the strong coupling g_s , and we neglected the flavor-violating contributions. Thus, the range of couplings defined above will result in a minimum and a maximum width for the KK gluon. These are $\Gamma_{\min.} \simeq 0.04 M_G$ and $\Gamma_{\max.} \simeq 0.35 M_G$. Then, we see that the range of values for the couplings allow for rather narrow or rather broad

resonances, two very different scenarios from the point of view of the phenomenology.

We can also estimate now the size of the flavor changing couplings. These arise as a consequence of the rotation to the quark mass eigenbasis. Then, if the CKM matrix is generated correctly, in this physical basis the left-handed up-type quarks couple to the KK gluon through the following currents: $U_L^{tt}(\bar{t}_L T^a \gamma_\mu t_L)$, $U_L^{tc}(\bar{t}_L T^a \gamma_\mu c_L)$ and $U_L^{tu}(\bar{t}_L T^a \gamma_\mu u_L)$. Similarly, the right-handed up-type quarks couple through $U_R^{tt}(\bar{t}_R T^a \gamma_\mu t_R)$, $U_R^{tc}(\bar{t}_R T^a \gamma_\mu c_R)$ and $U_R^{tu}(\bar{t}_R T^a \gamma_\mu u_R)$. Here, U_L and U_R are the left-handed and right-handed up-type quark rotation matrices responsible for the diagonalization of the Yukawa couplings of the up-type quarks. These are not observable in the SM, but here they govern the flavor-violating amplitudes. In principle, we have little knowledge of the entries of these matrices since there are many possible choices for textures that would result in the correct quark masses and mixings. However, given that we know that $V_{\text{CKM}} = U_L^\dagger D_L$, we can assume that $U_L \simeq \sqrt{V_{\text{CKM}}}$, and similarly for D_L . This leads to $U_L^{tc} \simeq V_{cb} \simeq 0.04$ and $U_L^{tu} \simeq V_{ub} \simeq 0.004$. On the other hand, we have no bias from the SM on the entries of U_R . Constraints on its elements can be imposed by asking for the correct up-quark masses after diagonalization. However, values of $U_R^{tc} \simeq O(1)$ are still possible. For instance, if most of the charm quark mass comes from the mixing with the third generation up quark, then $U_R^{tc} = 1$ is possible [14]. This is even more so if $\lambda_{23}^{5D} < \lambda_{33}^{5D}$, while both still of $O(1)$. In what follows we will consider U_R^{tc} and U_R^{tu} as free parameters. Since we will assume no separation of charm from light jets, we define $U_R^{tq} \equiv \sqrt{(U_L^{tc})^2 + (U_L^{tu})^2}$, and study the sensitivity of the LHC to this parameter for a given KK gluon mass.

Signal and Backgrounds These flavor-violating interactions could be directly observed by the s-channel production of the first KK excitation of the gluon with its subsequent decay to a top and a charm or up quark. For instance, at the LHC we could have the reaction

$$pp \rightarrow G_\mu^{a(1)} \rightarrow tq, \quad (9)$$

with $q = u, c$. Thus, the Randall-Sundrum scenario with bulk matter predicts anomalous single top production at a very high invariant mass, which is determined by the mass of the KK gluon. In what follows, we study in detail the potential of the LHC for observing this signal, with a top quark and a jet with very high invariant mass.

We will present results for the sets of values for c_L^3 and c_R^t that result in the minimum width for the KK gluon, as estimated above.

In order to reduce the backgrounds we considered only the semi-leptonic decays of the top quarks $pp \rightarrow t\bar{q}(\bar{t}q) \rightarrow b\ell^+\nu_\ell\bar{q}(\bar{b}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell q)$, where $\ell = e$ or μ , and $q = u, c$. Therefore, this signal exhibits one b jet, one light jet, a

charged lepton and missing transverse energy. There are many SM backgrounds for this process, namely:

- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow b\ell^+\nu_\ell\bar{b}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ when one of the b jets is mistagged and one of the charged leptons is either lost in the beam pipe or embedded in one of the jets. It is interesting to notice that the flavor-conserving signal for the first KK state of the gluon decaying to $t\bar{t}$ pairs also contributes to this background.
- $pp \rightarrow W^\pm jj \rightarrow \ell^\pm \nu jj$ where one of the light jets is tagged as a b jet.
- $pp \rightarrow W^\pm b\bar{b} \rightarrow \ell^\pm \nu b\bar{b}$ where one of the b jets is mistagged.
- $pp \rightarrow W^{*\pm} \rightarrow t\bar{b} + \bar{t}b \rightarrow b\bar{b}\ell^\pm \nu$ where one of the b jet is mistagged.
- $pp \rightarrow tbj \rightarrow b\bar{b}j\ell^\pm \nu$ where one of the jets is lost and just one jet is tagged as a b jet. Here in the W -gluon fusion we considered only the diagrams that are not higher order corrections to the single production of tops via W^* .

We simulate the signal and backgrounds with the package MADEVENT [15]. We initially imposed the following jet and lepton acceptance cuts

$$\begin{aligned} p_T^j &> 20 \text{ GeV} & , & \quad |y_j| < 2.5, \\ p_T^\ell &\geq 20 \text{ GeV} & , & \quad |y_\ell| \leq 2.5 \\ \Delta R_{\ell j} &\geq 0.63 & , & \quad \Delta R_{\ell\ell} \geq 0.63, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where j can be either a light or a b jet.

In order to further reduce the background we imposed the following additional cuts

1. We require that the invariant mass of the system formed by the lepton, the b tagged jet and the light jet be within a window

$$M_{G^{(1)}} - \Delta \leq M_{b\ell j} \leq M_{G^{(1)}} + \Delta \quad (11)$$

around the first KK excitation of the gluon mass. This cut ensures that the selected events have large invariant masses, as required by the large mass of the s-channel object being exchanged. The values of Δ used in this study are presented in Table I.

2. We require the transverse momentum of the light jet to be larger than p_{cut} , i.e.,

$$p_{j \text{ light}} \geq p_{\text{cut}} \quad (12)$$

Since the light jet in the signal recoils against the top forming with it a large invariant mass, it tends to be harder than the jets occurring in the background. We present in Table I the values for p_{cut} used in our analysis.

$M_{G(1)}$ (TeV)	Δ (GeV)	p_{cut} (GeV)
1	120	350
2	250	650

TABLE I: Cuts used in our analysis. See text for details.

3. We also require that the invariant mass of the charged lepton and the b tagged jet be *smaller* than 250 GeV:

$$M_{b\ell} \leq 250 \text{ GeV} . \quad (13)$$

This requirement is always passed by the signal, but eliminates a sizable fraction of the Wjj background. It substitutes for the full top reconstruction when the neutrino momentum is inferred, which we are not using here.

We present in Table II the cross sections for signal and backgrounds for $M_{G(1)} = 1$ TeV. The main sources of backgrounds are Wjj and $t\bar{t}$ production. The latter includes the *KK gluon flavor conserving signal*. Thus, this background partly scales with M_G the same way the signal does. The signal is obtained for $U_R^{tq} = 1$ and neglecting the contributions from left-handed final states, corresponding to $U_L^{tq} = 0$. The latter approximation is justified since we assume the left-handed quark rotations to be proportional to the CKM matrix, a conservative assumption. Regarding the choice of bulk masses, we fix these so as to obtain the minimum width which, as mentioned above, can be as small as $\Gamma_G \simeq 0.04M_G$. Table III shows the results for $M_G = 2$ TeV under similar assumptions.

In order to evaluate the reach of the LHC, we will require a significance of 5σ for the signal over the background. For a given KK gluon mass and accumulated luminosity, this can be translated into a reach in the flavor-violating parameter U_R^{tq} defined above. This is shown in Table IV. We see that the LHC will be sensitive to tree-level flavor violation for KK gluon masses of up to at least 2 TeV, probing a very interesting region of values for U_R^{tq} . The reach can be somewhat better if we allow for the reconstruction of the momentum of the neutrino coming from the W decay, which typically reduces the Wjj background more drastically.

Conclusions We have performed the first study of flavor violation at the LHC mediated by a high invariant mass object. Unlike rare top decays or low energy processes, this signal can only be a consequence of the tree-level flavor violation characteristic in these models. These phenomenon is expected in theories with one extra dimension with Anti-de Sitter curvature in the bulk, where gauge and matter fields are allowed to propagate in the bulk, and *is an essential manifestation of a theory of flavor*. Its observation would constitute a pri-

Process	$\sigma - (11)$	$\sigma - (12)$	$\sigma - (13)$
$pp \rightarrow tj$	148 fb	103 fb	103 fb
$pp \rightarrow Wjj$	243 fb	42.0 fb	21.0 fb
$pp \rightarrow Wbb$	11.1 fb	4.07 fb	3.19 fb
$pp \rightarrow tb$	1.53 fb	0.70 fb	0.61 fb
$pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$	44.4 fb	15.1 fb	14.2 fb
Wg fusion	32.0 fb	5.23 fb	5.23 fb

TABLE II: Signal and background cross sections for a KK gluon of $M_{G(1)} = 1$ TeV, after the successive application of the cuts defined in (11), (12) and (13). Efficiencies and b tagging probabilities are already included. Here we used $U_R^{tq} = 1$.

Process	$\sigma - (11)$	$\sigma - (12)$	$\sigma - (13)$
$pp \rightarrow tj$	5.10 fb	2.18 fb	2.18 fb
$pp \rightarrow Wjj$	25.4 fb	3.79 fb	0.95 fb
$pp \rightarrow Wbb$	0.97 fb	0.45 fb	0.06 fb
$pp \rightarrow tb$	0.04 fb	0.02 fb	0.02 fb
$pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$	1.60 fb	0.29 fb	0.24 fb
Wg fusion	1.20 fb	0.10 fb	0.10 fb

TABLE III: Signal and background cross sections for a KK gluon of $M_{G(1)} = 2$ TeV. Efficiencies and b tagging probabilities are already included. Here we used $U_R^{tq} = 1$

mary test of this aspect of bulk Randall-Sundrum models. We conclude that the LHC has the potential to observe this phenomenon up to KK gluon masses of at least $M_{G(1)} = 2$ TeV for interesting values of the parameter U_R^{tq} (see Table IV). In this study, we used narrow resonances. A more thorough study of the LHC reach for broader resonances is needed. Among other aspects for further study are the impact on the background of using a full top reconstruction, and of radiative corrections and the choice of renormalization scale. We leave these more detailed studies for future work [14].

Acknowledgments The authors acknowledge the support of the Brazilian National Counsel for Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq). G. B. and O. E. also acknowledge the support of the State of São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP).

M_G [TeV]	$30fb^{-1}$	$100fb^{-1}$	$300fb^{-1}$
1	0.24	0.18	0.14
2	0.65	0.50	0.36

TABLE IV: Reach in U_R^{tq} for various integrated luminosities.

-
- [1] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999).
 - [2] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 107505 (1999); H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Lett. B **473**, 43 (2000); A. Pomarol, Phys. Lett. B **486**, 153 (2000);
 - [3] S. Chang, J. Hisano, H. Nakano, N. Okada and M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 084025 (2000).
 - [4] Y. Grossman and M. Neubert, Phys. Lett. B **474**, 361 (2000).
 - [5] T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. B **586**, 141 (2000).
 - [6] S. J. Huber and Q. Shafi, Phys. Lett. B **498**, 256 (2001).
 - [7] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 075004 (2001).
 - [8] J. L. Hewett, F. J. Petriello and T. G. Rizzo, JHEP **0209**, 030 (2002).
 - [9] K. Agashe, A. Delgado, M. J. May and R. Sundrum, JHEP **0308**, 050 (2003).
 - [10] K. Agashe, R. Contino, L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, Phys. Lett. B **641**, 62 (2006).
 - [11] G. Cacciapaglia, C. Csaki, G. Marandella and J. Terning, arXiv:hep-ph/0607146.
 - [12] G. Cacciapaglia, C. Csaki, G. Marandella and J. Terning, arXiv:hep-ph/0611358.
 - [13] H. Davoudiasl and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Lett. B **512**, 100 (2001); G. Burdman, Phys. Lett. B **590**, 86 (2004); K. Agashe, G. Perez and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 201804 (2004); K. Agashe, G. Perez and A. Soni, arXiv:hep-ph/0606293.
 - [14] P. M. Aquino, G. Burdman and O. J. P. Éboli, in preparation.
 - [15] F. Maltoni and T. Stelzer, JHEP **0302**, 027 (2003).